			11
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	10/695,152	LIANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arun S. Phasge	1753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS nerewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31: 1. This communication is responsive to telephone interview of the allowed claim(s) is/are 35-58 and 67-75. 2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 35-58 and 67-75. 3. The drawings filed on 27 October 2003 are accepted by the second of the communication is made of a claim for foreign priority unelline and the communication is made of a claim for foreign priority unelline and communication is made of a claim for foreign priority unelline and communication is made of a claim for foreign priority unelline and communication is made of a claim for foreign priority unelline and communication is made of a claim for foreign priority unelline and communication is made of a claim for foreign priority unelline and communication is made of a claim for foreign priority unelline and communication is made of a claim for foreign priority unelline and communication is responsive to the communication in the communication is responsive to the claim for foreign priority unelline and communication is responsive to the claim for foreign priority unelline and communication is responsive to the claim for foreign priority unelline and communication is responsive to the claim for foreign priority unelline and communication is responsive to the priority documents have and communication for foreign priority unelline and communication is responsive to the priority documents have and communication for foreign priority unelline and communication for foreign priority unelline and communication is responsive to telephone interview of the priority documents have and communication for foreign priority unelline and communication is responsive to telephone interview of the priority documents have and communication in the priority documents have and communication foreign pri	ears on the cover sheet we (OR REMAINS) CLOSED or other appropriate comming the comming that it is application in the comming that is and MPEP 1308. In the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) are been received. In the been received in Application of this communication to fill of the communication. In the communication is application. In the communication is the communication to fill of the communication. In the communication is the communication is the submitted. Son's Patent Drawing Reviews.	in this application. If not included nunication will be mailed in due consubject to withdrawal from issue and or (f). or (f). on No ed in this national stage application are a reply complying with the requirement of declaration is deficient. w (PTO-948) attached	n from the
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t	.84(c)) should be written on the header according to 37 C	the drawings in the front (not the ba	ack) of
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT 	SIT OF BIOLOGICAL MAT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BI	ERIAL must be submitted. Not OLOGICAL MATERIAL.	te the
Attachment(s) .	6. ⊠ Interview S Paper No. 08), 7. ⊠ Examiner's	nformal Patent Application (PTO-6 Summary (PTO-413), /Mail Date General Measons for Allows Statement of Reasons for Allows Arun S. Phasge Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1753	·

Application/Control Number: 10/695,152

Art Unit: 1753

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 35-58, and 67-75, drawn to A method of purifying water by electrodeionization, classified in class 204, subclass 524.

II. Claims 61-63, drawn to a method of purifying water by reverse osmosis, classified in class 210, subclass 652.

III. Claims 93-94, drawn to a method of purifying water by ion exchange, classified in class 210, subclass 660.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, III and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I, III has separate utility such as a method of purifying water that does not require the use of reverse osmosis used in invention II. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II or III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1753

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Steven Finch on July 6 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 35-58, and 67-75.

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mr. Steven Finch on July 13, 2004.

The application has been amended as follows: In the claims:

Cancel claims 61-63 and 93-94 without prejudice. Further claims 42 and 43 have been amended to depend from claims 41 and 42 respectively.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: None of the prior art of record fairly discloses or renders obvious the claimed method passing water through an electrodeionization cell having the claimed arrangement of layers to adjust the pH as claimed. Another embodiment utilizes reverse osmosis in conjunction with electrodeionization in a manner not disclosed by the prior art of record.

Art Unit: 1753

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun 5. Phasge whose telephone number is (571) 272-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arun S. Phasge

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1753